## Community Outcome: A safe and healthy district

About this Outcome: Public buildings and car parks are safe, maintained and accessible by all.

Actual achievements against the Key Performance Indicators (KPI) and targets that the Council uses to monitor levels of service are detailed below:

KPI: Increase the number of public toilets with disabled access per annum	LTP Target	Result 2012/13
ACHIEVED: The 2 projects for Broadwood and Rangiputa have been completed.	2	2
KPI above is <b>new</b> in the LTP 2012/13. Unable to provide a three year view of performance.		

NOT ACHIEVED: The main reason for dissatisfaction stems from dirty, smelly facility that  need cleaning. A third of those dissatisfied indicated that the facilities need an upgrade, being in a poor condition, while a further 14% feel there are not enough facilities available in their area.  Graph shown below details a three year view of performance: (The difference being "Percentage satisfied with public toilets in Communitrak Survey" was used to monitor this KPI in public feel feel feel feel feel feel feel fee	l: Percentage of people are satisfied with cleanliness of public toilets	LTP Target Result 2012/1
(The difference being "Percentage satisfied with public toilets in Communitrak Survey" was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this KPI in public toilets in Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this Communitrak Survey was used to monitor this Communitrak Survey was used	ed cleaning. A third of those dissatisfied indicated that the facilities need an upgrade, being in	62% 50%
48 2009/10 2010/11 2011/12	e difference being "Percentage satisfied with public toilets in Communitrak Survey" was used to n  54% 64% 55% 58% 56% 60%	nitor this KPI in previous years)

KPI: Less than 2 no	n-compliance notifica	tions per month		LTP Target	Result 2012/13	
			work within specifications.This has c toilets by both contractor and	2	0	
	details a three year view g percentage of toilets the		olic toilet standards was used to monitor	this KPI in previous ye	ars)	
0 2009/10	2010/11 ■ Target ■ Result	2011/12				